

Measuring Detector Deadtime using Arrival Time Statistics

Kevin Pritchard, Electronics Engineer, NCNR

National Institute of Standards and Technology U.S. Department of Commerce

The CANDOR Detector

 The NIST Center for Neutron Research (NCNR) has developed an ultrathin (2mm)
 ⁶LiF:ZnS(Ag) scintillating neutron detector

- The detector generates a light pulse after it absorbs a neutron
- We use these detectors in the Chromatic Analysis Neutron Diffractometer or Reflectometer (CANDOR)



The CANDOR Detector





NL

Long Neutron Fluorescence

Significant fluorescence out to 60µs
60µs is too long a hold-off time before processing the next pulse. Too much deadtime.



Definitions

 Deadtime - Period of insensitivity following a neutron detection. < 1µs is desired

- Double-count Mistakenly counting the same neutron event twice. < 0.1% is OK</p>
- Adaptive Cooloff Compensating for fluorescent decay in a proportional manner



Importance of Deadtime

 For accurate measurements, scientists calculate their actual count rate from measured count rate and the deadtime of the detector.

- The uncertainty of deadtime corrections grows rapidly at high count rates, as the detector becomes saturated with counts.
- Scientists need to know what their detector deadtime is, and detector deadtime should be kept as small as possible.





Adaptive Cooloff algorithm

The scintillation pulse follows of 2-term exponential decay.

The signal variance also follows a 2-term exponential decay.

While looking for the next pulse, we subtract the mean of the previous pulse, and we raise our detection threshold by 5.5 standard deviations.

Adaptive Cooloff algorithm



ILA traces (real numbers from FPGA)



Missed Detection contributes to deadtime



11

Threshold reduced to 3.25 SD from 5.5 SD

No observed double counts at 5.5 SD



Is it a neutron? Or variance?

 A statistical measurement method would be better than subjective observations



Measuring Deadtime (τ)

> 2-source method is the established method



The 2-source method isn't feasible when...

You need two intense isotopic sources, which are hazardous to handle.

- Maybe you don't have access to these sources.
- Maybe you don't want to handle these sources.



Deadtime from Arrival Time statistics

Our reactor source is a constant flux source
Neutrons at PHADES follow Poisson process.
Arrival time probability follows an exponential distribution. λ = count rate

$$P(t_1 < X < t_2) = e^{-\lambda t_1} - e^{-\lambda t_2}$$

 CANDOR_DAQ has timestamping capability. Each event's arrival time is recorded with 100ns precision.



PDF of time elapsed between 2 consecutive events



Algorithm Behaviors are Visible



Calculating Deadtime

(1) $\tau = \sum_{n=0}^{end \ of \ adaptive \ cooloff} \left(1 - \frac{M[n]}{T[n]}\right) \times timestamp \ resolution$ $M[n] = measured \ PDF, \quad T[n] = theoretical \ PDF$

(2)
$$r_{actual} = \frac{C_{total}}{T_{total} - C_{total}\tau}$$

r = rate, C = counts, T = time

(3) $\lambda = r_{actual} \times timestamp resolution$

(4) $P(t_1 < X < t_2) = e^{-\lambda t_1} - e^{-\lambda t_2}$



Iterate Calculations

Once deadtime is calculated, the actual count rate changes, which changes lambda, which changes the exponential distribution, which changes the deadtime calculation

8-17 (Rep. 7)

Convergence after ~20 iterations





20

Caveats?

 Must count for an appropriate amount of time
 In our case, 1E+7 events were recorded for a good histogram

Must use an appropriate count rate

 A PDF must integrate to 1. Like a water balloon, if you squeeze one end, it will bubble up on the other end. Cannot have significant distortion within the calculation period. After is OK.



Count rate too high



Results

rates below 700Hz appear to be low enough for deadtime calculations 6 + photopeak 204, 3.25 SD 5 + photopeak 204, 5.5 SD + photopeak 106, 3.25 SD 4 + photopeak 106, 5.5 SD Deadtime (us) 1 0 -1 10² 10³ 10⁴ Count Rate (Hz) NI NUST Center for Neutron Research

23

Analog Discriminator

ST Center for Research

Also has an adaptive cooloff function, but has not been tuned/finessed well... shows doublecounts in the PDF



PDF change at 2 count rates

The doublecount feature is still present at 631Hz, but is smaller than at 220Hz



Interesting!

At a low count rate, there are more double counts compared to missed counts.

- Missed counts increase with count rate. They are related to the deadtime fraction.
- Doublecounts are independent of the count rate. They are a function of the adaptive cooloff profile.



26

Calculate Deadtime AND Doublecounts

We have two PDFs and two unknowns. Let's come up with some equations.

 $measured \ counts = theoretical \ counts - missed \ counts + doublecounts$

missed counts = theoretical counts $\times \tau \times r_{actual}$

double counts = theoretical counts $\times d$

 $\tau = deadtime$ $r_{actual} = actual count rate$ $\tau \times r_{actual} = deadtime fraction$ d = double count fraction



Equations

$M_1 = T_1(1 - \tau r_1 + d)$ $M_2 = T_2(1 - \tau r_2 + d)$ • $\tau = \frac{\frac{M_2}{T_2} - \frac{M_1}{T_1}}{r_1 - r_2}$ $\bullet \ d = \frac{M_1}{T_1} + \tau r_1 - 1$ $M = 1 - \left(\sum_{n=0}^{end \ adaptive \ cooloff} T[n] - M[n]\right)$ ► T = 1



Results

NIST Center for Neutron Research

Detector	photopeak	Adaptive cooloff theshold	PSD	deadtime	deadtime uncertainty	doublecount fraction	doublecount uncertainty
418C	204	11V	analog	2.465 us	0.105 us	2.59E-03	7.51E-05
558C	106	IIV	analog	6.474 µs	0.146 µs	1.07E-03	4.31E-05
418C	204	5.5 SD	digital	2.487 µs	0.142 µs	1.11E-05	1.06E-04
558C	106	5.5 SD	digital	4.910 µs	0.130 µs	3.88E-05	8.80E-05
418C	204	3.25 SD	digital	0.902 µs	0.146 µs	1.84E-05	1.08E-04
558C	106	3.25 SD	digital	2.050 µs	0.144 µs	-1.11E-04	1.00E-04

29

NIST

Take-aways

- Scientists need to know what their detector deadtime is for accurate measurements at high count rates.
- For a detector developer, deadtime can be measured with the two-source method.
- If the two-source method isn't feasible (radiological hazard, sources unavailable)...
- AND if you have a timestamper, then you can calculate the deadtime from arrival time statistics.
- You can even calculate the doublecount fraction too!





Average Neutron Decay

Decay changes slightly with amplitude. Why??



2-term exponential decay

 $f[n] = k_1 \alpha f[n-1] + k_2 \beta f[n-1]$





NI

Subtract the mean, but variance is still a problem

 Larger pulses have more photons, less variance, smoother shape, better statistics



Variance is also a 2-term exponential $f[n] = k_3\gamma f[n-1] + k_4\tau f[n-1]$



Attenuator Superposition Method at PHADES



Hypothetical Example...

- Original Beam Rate = 1E+5 Hz (neutrons per second)
- Attenuator A Transmission = 0.1
 Attenuator B Transmission = 0.1
- Attenuator B Transmission = 0.1
- Attenuator C Transmission = 0.1
- Attenuator D Transmission = 0.1
- Beam Rate ABCD = 10 Hz (neutrons per second)
- Beam Rate ABC = 100 Hz (neutrons per second)
- Beam Rate AB = 1000 Hz (neutrons per second)
- Beam Rate A = 10000 Hz (neutrons per second)
- If measured Beam Rate A = 9500 Hz, then there is 5% deadtime fraction



× .						
		Measured	Calculate	Calculated		Deadtime
	Measured	Uncertainty	d	Uncertainty	Deadtime	Uncertainty
Attenuators	Rate (Hz)	(Hz)	Rate (Hz)	(Hz)	(μs)	(µs)
none	106220	141	166580	1334	3.41	0.05
A	23997.4	34.2	24753.9	222.8	1.27	0.37
Н	10701.9	14.5	10548.5	96.0	-1.36	0.87
AC	3915.63	6.21	3655.33	36.18	-18.17	2.74
AH	1632.51	2.84	1567.51	15.65	-25.34	6.46
FG	670.01	1.15	672.03	6.77	4.82	15.2
FH	668.37	1.15	670.38	6.75	4.83	15.2
GH	667.61	1.15	669.61	6.74	4.83	15.3
AGH	99.50	0.31	99.51	1.08	15.38	113.6
ССН	08.88	0.31	00 10	1 00	37 54	115.6
FGH	42.65	0.15	42.56	0.47	31.83	267.9



F

Results

- The test should show consistent deadtime calculations over the entire range of count rates. It did not. There were even negative deadtimes! Impossible!
- The experiment failed to take scattered neutrons into account.
- The collimator should have been placed after the attenuators to remove scattered neutrons... requires significant re-design & machined parts



Illustration of failed test

scattering of attenuators corrupts the superposition of transmission factors combined transmission is lower than the product of individual attenuator transmissions

series of borated glass attenuators, each with transmission = ~0.1

... because low angle scattered neutrons are absorbed by subsequent attenuators, or scattered again